Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/602,233	SULLIVAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JASON B. DUNHAM	3625
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Jason Dunham.	(3)	
(2) Michael Fogarty.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 15 July 2009.		
Type: a)☑ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	•]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.	
Claim(s) discussed: all.		
Identification of prior art discussed: Manto (US 2003/0076940) and Hodge (US 2004/0029564).		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. Examiner Dunham and Michael Foogarth, agreed to incorporate the limitations of dependent claims 59 and 55 into their expective, independent claims 53 and 50 in an Examiner's Amendment.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.94). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APPLICANT IS 7 DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
Jason B Dunham/ Examiner, Art Unit 3625		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)